2019 University of California - PIMA VA		RIETY TRIAL - MICRONAIRE averages across multiple sites February 15, 2020 upda				e		
fiber quality (hvi data si	ummary)							
Questions?		Cooperative Project by:						
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC						
Cell: (559) 260-8957		Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept.						
email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu		Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Lynn Sosnoskie, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado, Jorge Angeles,						
		Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties;						
		San Joaquin Quality Cot	San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers AssocShafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies					
		Buttonwillow	Corcoran	Five Points	Los Banos	West Side REC	AVERAGE	
		KERN COUNTY	KINGS COUNTY	FRESNO COUNTY	MERCED COUNTY	FRESNO COUNTY	across all sites	
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire		
DP 341 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	4.58	4.70	4.70	4.65	4.70	4.67	
DP 348 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	4.38	4.70	4.50	4.43	4.78	4.56	
DP 359 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	4.63	4.78	4.70	4.90	4.85	4.77	
PHY PX8054 RF	Phytogen	4.58	4.65	4.68	4.70	4.90	4.70	
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	4.75	4.70	4.70	4.38	4.80	4.67	
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	4.38	4.58	4.80	4.55	4.65	4.59	
MEAN		4.55	4.69	4.68	4.60	4.78	4.66	
* NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMIT	TED FOR HVI ANALYSES we	re separated from seed us	sing a mini-gin. This ginni	ng method differs from UCC	E methods used prior to 20	17 (mini-gin does not have o	commercial	
				field harvest weight timing a		ic gin loss estimates are typ	ically lower	
with use of a table top style of mini-gin. All samples were handled in an identical manner in terms of mini-gin operations.								

iber quality (hvi data s	ummary)							
ber quality (IIVI data 3	anninary)							
Questions?		Cooperative Project	Cooperative Project by:					
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC						
ell: (559) 260-8957		Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept.						
mail: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.	edu	Cooperators: multiple of	growers, Dan Munk, Brian	Marsh, Lynn Sosnoskie, Bill	Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul D	elgado, Jorge Angeles,		
		Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties;						
		San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers AssocShafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies						
		Buttonwillow	Corcoran	Five Points	Los Banos	West Side REC	AVERAGE	
		KERN COUNTY	KINGS COUNTY	FRESNO COUNTY	MERCED COUNTY	FRESNO COUNTY	across all sites	
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire		
DP 341 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	1.44	1.42	1.44	1.45	1.46	1.44	
DP 348 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	1.39	1.40	1.43	1.44	1.45	1.42	
DP 359 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	1.41	1.43	1.42	1.44	1.45	1.43	
PHY PX8054 RF	Phytogen	1.43	1.42	1.43	1.47	1.46	1.44	
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	1.42	1.44	1.43	1.46	1.47	1.44	
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	1.45	1.44	1.45	1.47	1.47	1.46	
MEAN		1.42	1.43	1.43	1.46	1.46	1.44	
NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMIT	FED FOR HVI ANALYSES we gin style cleaners). Correct							
	with use of a table top style					3 3 3 7		

-		RIETY TRIAL - STRENGTH averages across multiple sites			February 15, 2020 update	<i>a</i>		
ber quality (hvi data s	ummary)							
Questions?		Cooperative Project by:						
ontact: Bob Hutmacher (Uni	v. CA)	University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC						
Cell: (559) 260-8957		Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept.						
mail: rbhutmacher@ucdavis	.edu			Marsh, Lynn Sosnoskie, Bill				
			,	ative Extension Tulare, Kings	· · · ·	unties;		
		San Joaquin Quality Cot	ton Growers AssocShaft	er Research Station; Various	s Seed Companies			
		Buttonwillow	Corcoran	Five Points	Los Banos	West Side REC	AVERAGE	
		KERN COUNTY	KINGS COUNTY	FRESNO COUNTY	MERCED COUNTY	FRESNO COUNTY	across all sites	
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire		
DP 341 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	46.8	44.6	44.9	46.7	47.0	46.0	
DP 348 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	48.8	42.9	46.9	48.9	46.9	46.9	
DP 359 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	47.9	46.3	45.0	46.6	45.8	46.3	
PHY PX8054 RF	Phytogen	48.3	43.9	45.7	48.2	45.4	46.3	
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	47.3	44.6	45.7	47.6	49.2	46.9	
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	46.6	42.9	45.8	44.9	45.9	45.2	
MEAN		47.62	44.20	45.67	47.15	46.70	46.27	
NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMIT	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	tions were calculated for m	oisture loss/gain between	ng method differs from UCCI field harvest weight timing a Il manner in terms of mini-gir	and ginning timing, and bas			

2019 University of C	alifornia - PIMA VA	RIETY TRIAL - U	RIETY TRIAL - UNIFORMITY averages across multiple sites February 15, 2020 upda				е	
fiber quality (hvi data si	ummary)							
Questions?		Cooperative Project by:						
contact: Bob Hutmacher (Univ. CA)		University of CA Coop. Extension (UC-ANR) / Univ. CA Davis Plant Sci Dept. / Univ. CA West Side REC						
Cell: (559) 260-8957		Funding by: CA Cotton Growers&Ginners Assoc, CA Cotton Alliance, UC-ANR/UCCE, UC Davis Plant Sci. Dept.						
email: rbhutmacher@ucdavis.edu		Cooperators: multiple growers, Dan Munk, Brian Marsh, Lynn Sosnoskie, Bill Weir, Mark Keeley, Raul Delgado, Jorge Angeles,						
		Tarilee Frigulti-Schramm, Univ. CA ANR - Cooperative Extension Tulare, Kings, Fresno, Kern, Merced Counties;						
		San Joaquin Quality Cot	San Joaquin Quality Cotton Growers AssocShafter Research Station; Various Seed Companies					
		Buttonwillow	Corcoran	Five Points	Los Banos	West Side REC	AVERAGE	
		KERN COUNTY	KINGS COUNTY	FRESNO COUNTY	MERCED COUNTY	FRESNO COUNTY	across all sites	
VARIETY	SEED COMPANY	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire	micronaire		
DP 341 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	87.4	87.1	86.2	87.3	87.9	87.2	
DP 348 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	87.5	86.8	87.6	87.2	87.8	87.4	
DP 359 RF	Delta Pine / Bayer	86.9	87.0	86.9	87.1	88.0	87.2	
PHY PX8054 RF	Phytogen	86.6	86.6	87.4	88.0	87.1	87.1	
PHY 881 RF	Phytogen	86.6	87.1	87.3	87.0	88.1	87.2	
PHY 888 RF	Phytogen	87.2	87.2	86.8	87.2	87.6	87.2	
MEAN		87.03	86.97	87.03	87.30	87.75	87.22	
* NOTE: SAMPLES SUBMIT		tions were calculated for m	oisture loss/gain between	ng method differs from UCC field harvest weight timing a Il manner in terms of mini-gir	and ginning timing, and bas			
	use s. a table top diyle	2 g , til dampide ti		9	· operations.			